

**scotland
invents
the future.
then what?**

Scotland Invents the Future. Then What?

Scotland has spent centuries inventing what comes next. Its harder challenge is turning invention into companies, capability and experience that compound.

Scotland knows how to invent the future. The harder question is what happens next.

James Watt transformed the economics of steam. Alexander Graham Bell helped turn experiments in sound into the telephone. John Logie Baird pioneered television. Andrew Carnegie left Dunfermline and built an industrial empire in America before recycling much of his fortune into institutions designed to outlive him.

The familiar version of this story is about Scottish ingenuity. The more interesting version is about what happened afterwards.

Watt is particularly instructive because he did not invent the steam engine. Newcomen engines had existed for decades when he recognised where energy was being wasted and developed the separate condenser. His breakthrough was not another machine, but the removal of the constraint preventing an existing one from becoming vastly more consequential.

The question for Scotland now is where its own constraint lies.

The conversion problem

Scotland does not obviously lack ingredients. It has formidable universities, scientific and engineering depth, experienced entrepreneurs and capabilities stretching from energy and life sciences to computing, games, agriculture, aquaculture, whisky and advanced manufacturing.

Nor can the problem simply be reduced to capital. Scotland attracted a record £1.15 billion of risk-capital investment across 359 deals in 2025, although one £445 million Fidra Energy transaction accounted for 39 per cent of the total.

The more revealing evidence lies downstream. Scotland's 2025 Innovation Scorecard ranked it first among UK regions for academic income from business and community interaction as a share of GDP, yet its high-growth-business measure remained in the fourth quartile. ONS recorded 855 high-growth Scottish businesses in 2024, equivalent to 4.1 per cent of eligible businesses against 4.9 per cent across the UK. The latest UK Innovation Survey adds another signal: 29.4 per cent of Scottish businesses with ten or more employees were innovation-active during 2022–24, compared with 34.0 per cent across the UK.

None of those numbers establishes causality. Industrial composition matters, surveys carry uncertainty and small regional differences should not be overinterpreted. Together, however, they make the familiar prescription—Scotland needs more innovation—look incomplete.

The more interesting question is **why strong innovation inputs do not consistently become enough high-growth companies.**

Entrepreneurial ecosystems are usually described through institutions: universities, investors, accelerators, agencies and programmes. Companies experience something more unforgiving—a succession of thresholds at which the problem changes.

An idea has to become a product, a product has to find customers, customers have to become a market, product-market fit has to become repeatable distribution, domestic success has to survive internationalisation, and growth has to survive the complexity it creates. Raising £2 million does not teach a company how to deploy £20 million, just as selling successfully in Britain does not teach it how to win America.

To understand where momentum is lost, follow the companies. Trace a cohort of promising Scottish businesses over fifteen or twenty years and ask who reaches meaningful revenue, then £10 million, £50 million or £100 million; who internationalises, remains independent, is acquired, relocates or disappears; and where the founders, executives, intellectual property, employee wealth and capital go afterwards.

A company that cannot scale, one that could scale but leaves, and one that creates enormous value before acquisition represent different economic outcomes. Until Scotland distinguishes between them, “we need more scaleups” is an ambition rather than a diagnosis.

What success leaves behind

The strongest entrepreneurial economies accumulate something money cannot buy quickly: people who have already made the mistakes.

Silicon Valley, Cambridge, Stockholm, Tel Aviv and Boston–Cambridge emerged from radically different histories, so none offers Scotland a blueprint. What they share is more consequential: successful companies repeatedly release founders, executives, engineers, relationships and capital back into the environments from which subsequent companies emerge.

That circulation creates institutional memory without requiring an institution. Employees become founders, founders become investors, executives join younger businesses and engineers carry knowledge between generations. Mistakes become judgement, relationships survive companies, and failure becomes useful because somebody else no longer has to pay quite as much to learn the same lesson.

One candidate constraint for Scotland is **entrepreneurial scar tissue**: judgement earned when customers, payroll, investors and reputations depended upon consequential decisions. Is apparent product-market fit real or enthusiasm from three customers? Is the largest customer validating the product or quietly distorting it? Is capital accelerating growth or merely accelerating mistakes? These judgements are difficult to teach because much of their value comes from having been wrong before.

Mark Logan's 2020 Scottish Technology Ecosystem Review described Scotland as a pre-tipping-point ecosystem, where the network effects of a mature technology economy were not yet operating. A Scottish Government progress report said in 2022 that the assessment still held. That establishes the importance of scaling experience; it does not prove the size of Scotland's present-day deficit or that leadership is the binding constraint. Growth capital, customer demand, internationalisation, industrial structure and what happens after acquisition may matter just as much.

Dundee nevertheless shows what happens when experience accumulates. A city once synonymous with jute moved through publishing into games; DMA Design created *Lemmings* and the original *Grand Theft Auto*, Abertay pioneered games education, and 4J Studios later developed *Minecraft* for major console platforms. Chris van der Kuyl and Paddy Burns subsequently used Chroma Ventures to recycle capital and operating experience into other businesses.

Skyscanner created another reservoir of experience in Edinburgh. Its £1.4 billion acquisition by Ctrip in 2016 made the headlines, but the more enduring question is what continued circulating afterwards: people who had experienced global scale, relationships they had built, and knowledge and capital available for whatever came next.

That is the useful way to think about exits. Selling a company is neither betrayal nor failure, just as foreign capital is not something to fear; the consequential question is what remains afterwards.

The objective is not to keep everything Scottish, but to keep **Scotland inside the compounding loop**.

Think Scotland, not its parts

Scotland's economic geography is richer than its four largest cities. Inverness and the Highlands connect life sciences and healthcare with energy and marine industries; Stirling has deep aquaculture expertise, reinforced by a new £21 million research and innovation hub; Perthshire, the Borders and Dumfries & Galloway bring capabilities across agriculture, food, forestry and land management; while Argyll, the Hebrides, Orkney and Shetland add marine science, aquaculture, offshore engineering and renewable energy.

These are not peripheral to Scotland's innovation economy. They are part of what makes it distinctive.

Their constraints can become advantages. Orkney's harsh seas helped create expertise and infrastructure for testing marine energy, while rural and island communities expose problems in energy, healthcare, connectivity and logistics that other economies increasingly confront. Agriculture is converging with robotics and sensing, aquaculture with biotechnology, offshore engineering with autonomous systems, games with simulation, finance with data, and whisky with biotechnology, low-carbon production and sophisticated global distribution.

As AI makes generic intelligence cheaper, advantage shifts towards what is harder to reproduce: domain expertise, proprietary knowledge, physical infrastructure, trusted relationships, distribution and accumulated judgement. The strategic question is therefore not simply which industries Scotland should back, but **what Scotland collectively knows that technological change is making more valuable**.

Regional difference strengthens that proposition until it becomes distance. The opportunity is to connect Glasgow's engineering, Dundee's computational creativity, Edinburgh's finance and informatics, Aberdeen's energy expertise, Highland life sciences and rural innovation, Stirling's aquaculture, southern Scotland's agriculture and food economy, and the marine capabilities of the west coast and Northern Isles into one economic system.

Scotland does not need every region to become a technology cluster. It needs each to deepen what it genuinely knows while making talent, capital, customers, knowledge and experience easier to move between them.

That is not smallness to overcome. It is **compression to exploit**.

Scotland is a starting point, not a ceiling

The same principle applies internationally.

Skyscanner and FanDuel demonstrate that Scotland does not need to become a large market to become an exceptional place from which to address one. Having built businesses across international markets, I have seen repeatedly that technology travels remarkably well while customers remain stubbornly local in precisely the details that determine whether they buy.

For globally addressable Scottish companies, internationalisation should therefore be part of finding scale rather than the final chapter of it. A domestic market is invaluable for learning but dangerous when success within it allows assumptions to harden before the company encounters larger markets, different buyers and stronger competitors.

Scotland's diaspora can shorten that distance through customer knowledge, trusted introductions, operating experience, capital and credibility. Build from Scotland; do not build only for Scotland.

Its market may be small, but its network need not be.

Make knowledge move

The principle of circulation extends to universities. World-class research does not automatically produce world-class companies because a patent is not product-market fit, a licence is not distribution and a funding round is not evidence that enough customers care.

The meaningful test of commercialisation arrives later: did the company reach substantial revenue, sell internationally, create employment and wealth, and did the people who learned to build it go on to build again?

A spinout is an event; a consequential company is an outcome.

The answer is not to turn scientists into reluctant sales executives, but to bring founders, operators, customers and capital close enough to discovery that assumptions encounter reality while they remain cheap enough to change.

There is a historical echo here. The Scottish Enlightenment became consequential not merely because Scotland contained exceptional thinkers, but because ideas travelled through universities, clubs, correspondence, friendship and argument. We would now call this an innovation ecosystem and commission a diagram to explain it; the eighteenth century mostly had dinner.

Knowledge that does not move cannot compound, and neither can experience.

Ambition without theatre

There is one more Scottish complication, and it may also be an advantage.

America has made an industry of announcing ambition before breakfast. Scotland traditionally prefers to wait for evidence and then enquire whether the person responsible might be getting a little carried away.

There is something healthy in that instinct. A culture suspicious of self-importance has a natural defence against entrepreneurial theatre, messianic founders and the belief that adding “AI” to a pitch deck constitutes a business model. Scottish humour can be merciless towards pomposity, and the ability to puncture one's own mythology is useful intellectual hygiene.

The problem begins when humility stops disciplining ambition and starts suppressing it.

Scottish success carries a peculiar social contract: do well, certainly, but try not to become unbearable about it. Build a global company if you must, but return home discussing your “personal brand” and centuries of cultural engineering may activate automatically.

The joke conceals something serious. Visible success gives other people permission to imagine themselves succeeding. If achievement is habitually downplayed or treated as evidence that somebody has become too big for their boots, that mechanism can run backwards.

Scotland does not need to import American exceptionalism to solve this; confidence without self-criticism has its own spectacular failure modes. The more interesting possibility is **ambition without grandiosity, confidence without theatre, and success without forgetting where it came from.**

Humility is an asset when it keeps judgement sharp; it becomes a liability when it makes ambition embarrassing.

Make Scotland relationally smaller

This is where geography, culture and economics converge.

A founder should be only a few trusted introductions from somebody who has already confronted the problem immediately ahead; a scientist commercialising deep technology should reach somebody who has taken it to market; a company entering America should reach an operator who has built there.

That circulation should work across industries and regions too. Robotics should discover problems worth solving in aquaculture and agriculture; offshore expertise should migrate into marine energy; experience delivering services across remote communities should become knowledge from which exportable products emerge.

A country can be geographically small and economically fragmented. Scotland cannot instantly manufacture another generation of globally experienced executives, but it can reduce the distance between the experience it already possesses and the companies that need it, while drawing expertise from elsewhere until repeated success enlarges the domestic pool.

The objective is not to make Glasgow more like Inverness, Shetland more like Edinburgh or Dumfries & Galloway more like Dundee. It is to make their boundaries porous enough that specialisation becomes complementary rather than isolated.

Compete locally. Circulate nationally. Sell globally.

Measure consequence, not activity

An entrepreneurial ecosystem should ultimately be judged by what changes.

Did an intervention help a founder reach product-market fit faster, win a consequential customer, recruit a critical leader, enter an international market earlier, avoid a fatal mistake or secure the right capital?

Public intervention can matter where markets fail, research has long commercialisation horizons or useful infrastructure would not otherwise emerge. The objective, however, should be increasing independent capability rather than dependence on the support system itself.

Early experimentation should remain broad because nobody reliably knows where exceptional companies will emerge. As evidence accumulates, capital and expertise should follow it. That is not pretending anyone can reliably pick winners in advance; treating every company identically after meaningful evidence emerges can itself misallocate resources.

The measure that matters is whether more companies survive the transitions from possibility to proof, proof to repeatability, and repeatability to international scale.

Seven principles for compounding

1. Build from distinctive advantage, not fashion. Concentrate where accumulated Scottish expertise meets technological change, recombining capabilities built over decades for markets that did not previously exist.

2. Design for global markets from the beginning. Scotland should be the starting point rather than the horizon, with international customers, economics and distribution understood before domestic assumptions harden.

3. Connect discovery to consequence. Protect exceptional science while bringing founders, operators, customers and capital close enough that promising ideas encounter market reality early.

4. Treat entrepreneurial scar tissue as infrastructure. Put proven builders beside companies confronting problems they have already lived, bring in international expertise where it is missing, and recycle successful founders and operators through companies, boards, investment and new ventures.

5. Make Scotland relationally smaller. Let its cities, Highlands, islands and regions deepen their distinctive capabilities while talent, capital, customers, knowledge and experience move more easily between them.

6. Finance the journey, not merely the round. Distinguish capital scarcity from investability and operating problems, then connect companies demonstrating genuine scale potential with investors capable of financing what comes next.

7. Make every success increase the odds of the next. Judge success partly by what remains afterwards: founders, operators, employee wealth, relationships, capital and hard-earned knowledge returning so the next generation begins further ahead.

Silicon Valley, Cambridge, Stockholm, Tel Aviv and Boston–Cambridge have radically different histories, but their enduring advantage is not simply that they produced successful companies. Enough of those companies produced the founders, operators, investors, relationships and knowledge from which the next ones could emerge.

Scotland already has many of the parts. Its opportunity is to make them behave more like a system: ideas moving from universities into markets, experience between companies, expertise between industries and regions, relationships across borders, and successful people back into whatever comes next.

A discovery in Edinburgh should find an application in Shetland. Expertise earned in Aberdeen should reach Orkney. Technology developed in Glasgow should encounter agriculture in Perthshire, the Borders or Dumfries & Galloway. Aquaculture knowledge from Stirling should travel to the west coast and islands. A founder in Inverness should be relationally as close to world-class operating experience as one in London or San Francisco.

The deeper meaning of scale is not merely making individual companies bigger. It is making every consequential company leave Scotland more capable of producing the next one.

The tipping point arrives when an ecosystem no longer merely produces successful companies because successful companies have begun producing the ecosystem.

Scotland has spent centuries helping invent the future. Its next great achievement should be making success circulate until it compounds.

Roy Sharples
September 2026

Roy Sharples is the founder of Lucan Ventures, where he builds and reinvents businesses through product innovation, go-to-market and operating model design.

[Lucan Ventures](#) | hello@lucanventures.com

© 2026 Lucan Ventures. All rights reserved.